## **Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development**

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS RESPONSES TO PROPOSER QUESTIONS

(Responses to Proposer Questions Received through March 4, 2015)

Instructions to Proposers We w		Department Response
Section 1.7.1  2015 exten  Althorelati respo ATC' tight aware has to subco and p subco addit. comp vendo	would like to request that the March 24, 5 deadline for delivery of proposals be ended an additional 3 weeks.  Though the procurement timeline has been atively generous and the LADOT has ponded timely, the time between approval of C's and our final proposal submission is very at and a concern to our team. As you are are, once ATC's are approved, the proposer to finalize our proposal design, solicit contractors and vendors for the final work a procure interested DBE's for contracting on the project. We feel that this litional time will help us procure more appetitive proposals from subcontractors and adors and allow us to take full advantage of ATC savings.	No change.

## **Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development**

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS RESPONSES TO PROPOSER QUESTIONS

(Responses to Proposer Questions Received through March 4, 2015)

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 1	All information contained in the RFP referenced	US 90 roadway will be designed in accordance with
– DB Agreement,	sections indicate that US 90 (Future I-49) is to	the LADOTD Minimum Design Guidelines for
Appendix A – Project	meet F-3 Interstate Standards within the project	Freeways F-3. If a transition is required to meet the
Scope, Section 2.2(A); Part	limits (Sta. 100+00 to Sta. 160+00). This design	guidelines, then the transition shall be within the
3 – Design Requirements	criteria identifies the median width as 72 feet	project limits as shown in the RFP.
and Performance	between the inside edges of pavement for US	
Specifications, Appendix A	90. The CADD drawings, contained in the Part	
– Performance	5 – Environmental Section, confirm this as	
Specifications, Roadway	well. As the current width of the existing US	
Geometrics Performance	90 median is 64 feet, is it the intent of the LA	
Specification Section	DOTD to construct a transition between these	
2.0(A); Part 5 –	median width's beyond the project limits as	
Engineering Data,	defined in the RFP or within the project limits?	
Interchange for US 90 and	It appears that all of the recent completed	
LA 318 Environmental	construction along US 90 maintains a median	
Assessment Finding of No	width of 64-65 feet.	
Significant Impact		